

AGENDA



**CAMP VERDE TRAILS AND PATHWAYS COMMISSION
TOWN OF CAMP VERDE COUNCIL CHAMBERS
473 S. Main St. Room 106
Tuesday, June 10th, 2008
6:30 p.m.**

1. **Call to Order**
2. **Roll Call**
3. **Pledge of Allegiance**
4. **Consent Agenda** – All those items listed below may be enacted upon by one motion and approved as Consent Agenda Items. Any item may be removed from the agenda Consent Agenda and considered as a separate item if a committee member so requests.
 - a. **Approval of the Minutes:**
 - 1) Regular Session – May 13, 2008
 - 2) Work Session – May 13, 2008
 - b. **Set Next Meeting, Date and Time:**
 - 1) Regular Session – July 8, 2008 at 6:30 p.m.
 - 2) Set Date and Time for Members to visit trail sites.
5. **Call to the Public for Items not on the Agenda** – Pursuant to ARS 38-431.01.G, residents are encouraged to comment about any matter not included on the agenda. State law prevents the Council from taking any action on items not on the agenda, except to respond to criticism made by those who have addressed the public body, ask staff to review a matter, or ask that a matter be included on a future agenda.

Public Input may be invited on the following:

6. **Report by Bea Richmond regarding the Dead Horse State Park Trails Coalition followed by possible discussion of the report.**
7. **Report by Bea Richmond followed by possible discussion regarding the Verde Valley Regional Trails Plan meeting.**
8. **Report by Perry Haddon followed by possible discussion regarding Council direction on Commissions mandate to include motorized transportation on trails.**
9. **Report by staff followed by possible discussion regarding the Finney Flat Sidewalk Project.**
10. **Report by staff followed by possible discussion regarding the timeline and process for requesting items to be placed on the agenda.**
11. **Call to the Public for Items not on the Agenda.**
12. **Commission Informational Reports** Individual members of the Commission may provide brief summaries of current events and activities. These summaries are strictly for informing the public of such events and activities. The Commission will take no discussion, consideration, or action on any such item, except that the individual Commission member may request an item be placed on a future agenda.
13. **Staff Report and discussion.**
14. **Adjournment.**

Posted by: _____

Date/Time: _____

The Town of Camp Verde facilities are accessible to the handicapped. Those with special accessibility or accommodation needs, such as large typeface print, may request these at the Office of the Town Clerk.

Notes – Dead Horse Ranch Trails Coalition - 15 May 2008

to CV Trails Commission - June

1. Burro Trail. Max talked to Mike Westcott of UVX. It seems to be in suspended status, though not dead. The \$8,000 grant allocated by the County for this project will probably be turned over to complete the NEPA of the Cohnville State Trail. This will be permitted; we just have to send the County a note.
2. The narrow gage railroad (Jerome to Clarkdale) will make a scenic trail. We'd need to talk to someone from Freeport-McMoran; Barbara Nelson is the person to contact, said Max. We need to let them know that the Coalition is interested in converting the roadbed into a trail.
3. Bea reported for the Town of Camp Verde. The Copper Canyon Trailhead, which was foundering now seems to be coming back together, with meetings and close cooperation between the Forest Service and the Town. All but one of the in-kind donations offered two years ago are still forthcoming, and the Council has one of the donors, a Councilmember, to act as Coordinator. The question of motorized travel continues to arise at Commission meetings. The members are drafting a Policy, which will, or will not, include motorized users. The matter will go before Council, which has to decide whether to amend its original mandate. Other trails under consideration in CV are the pathway from Circle K to Basha's, funded some time ago, but nothing is happening. And also the Verde River trail proposal (when the EnviroZeum idea was still around, but that's dead now. The Verde River passes through a very dense, unpopulated area north of town, partly private property, partly State Park and Forest Service land.
4. Bea also reported on the Regional Planning meeting May 1. This meeting was given over to work on the proposed user questionnaire (nowhere, at this point) and a division of the huge Verde Valley area into three divisions, members to take responsibility for each of these, defining and describing (not mapping) existing trails. No date of completion given. Coalition members wondered where Kyle is taking this.....
5. Diane L. reported for the City of Cottonwood. Though nothing solid to report, she said the "city is coming alive on trails". Diane write to the Salt River Materials Group a month ago regarding the \$5,000 needed for restoration of the Lime Kiln (vandalized). No response to date.
6. Del Monte Wash cleanup. Bob Rothrock and Curtis Lindner, the property owner, walked this wash. Owner is interested in getting it cleaned up. Nothing definite, seems to depend on other outcomes.
7. National Public Lands Day will be Sept 27 in Sedona. Bill S. said that State Parks, Friends of the Forest, etc. are working together on this. No National Trails Day observance this year. Bill reported that the Mail Trail group is meeting again, the third Monday of each month. This work has not finished: more trailheads needed, markers...He termed it "exciting" that they are moving ahead.
8. Trailhead at Deer Pass (Lime Kiln Trail) in need of water at the rest area: horses. He will approach the Wastewater Treatment plant in Sedona for a supply.
9. The Chaves Trail. Bill will be working on the NEPA for that.

10. The Cornville Trail. Bill had a meeting with the extended group. He gave them an update: he is ready to complete the Proposed Action...but the group is out of money. Funds are needed to finish the project. And here is the timely offer of \$8,000, reallocated from the Burro Trail! Funds are needed for preparing mailing lists, providing for public scooping, studies, paying for staff & personnel, supplies, and mileage. The \$8,000 will cover. "The Cornville Trail is much bigger than just recreative," he said. There are around 62 miles to be surveyed; 12 would be put into the system of trails. Arch. studies for the entire length are needed: very expensive. The need is for an ADEQ grant, a Heritage grant, any others.... Funds needed for obliteration...fencing...NEPA. The catch is, until NEPA is finished, no grants will be forthcoming. Maggie will talk to Annie McVeigh, State Trails Coordinator, concerning grant sources. "We need to keep the pressure on the Forest Service," said Bill. The need right now is for survey work, both botanical and archeological
11. The National Park Service is undertaking restoration of Tavasci Marsh. They requested a letter of support for the project. Diane will provide this; everybody agreed. We never vote!
12. What a meeting. Adjourned at 5:12. The next meeting will be June 26. Skip July. Next meeting after that Aug. 21 (regular date)

Fran, it made more sense for me to type up my own notes. I wonder what you do with the attachments. I'll hang onto them. Now, I can just abstract all this for my own report to the CV Trails Commission.

Bea

April 18, 2007

DRAFT

Proposed Development Standards to Protect Wildland-Urban Interface

Development of private land adjoining public land typically creates negative impacts on the public land. These impacts include but are not limited to:

- Damage from social trailing
- Dumping
- Soil compacting
- Severe and sometimes irreversible damage from illegal ATV use
- Altered natural drainages and washes from developed land
- Disruption of animal trails and paths used for migration and/or foraging
- Encroachment issues
- Access issues for wildland fire fighting vehicles

All of these issues result in ongoing management problems and expense for the Forest Service, which increases pressure to trade away compromised forest land.

Proposed solutions:

Local communities can help mitigate damage to public land by adopting design standards to help protect the wildland-urban interface. The goal is to minimize potential impacts, protect the wildland character of forest land, and keep the interface environment as natural as possible. Proposed solutions include but are not limited to:

- Transition to the lowest possible density near the interface. One dwelling per five acres is an excellent goal, but if that's not possible, the larger the better.
- An appropriate buffer on private land adjoining public land, protected as an undeveloped conservation easement, such as 50 foot strip kept natural with existing vegetation.
- Parcels adjoining the interface should be deed restricted to as much as practicable retain natural vegetation, except in the immediate vicinity of the house or other improvement. This helps reduce runoff and erosion problems onto National Forest land

- The developer should also be required to ensure appropriate fencing is installed on the border with public land. The fencing should be designed to effectively eliminate or deter encroachment issues, social trailing, dumping, and illegal ATV use.
- Locate and sign appropriate access to approved trails, if available.
- Post signage to discourage social trailing, dumping, illegal ATV use, etc.
- Provide for wildlife corridors as appropriate
- Provide for appropriate wildland fire fighting space

Cross-Community Forest Vision Sharing Meeting

April 15, 2008 * 9:30 – 12:00 p.m.

Host: Arcosanti

Agenda:

1. Introductions
2. Identify common themes activity
3. Discussion
4. Possible areas of collaboration
5. Next steps

Participating communities: Community vision leaders from PNF Visioning Project -- Agua Fria/Mayer, Castle, Cherry, Jerome, Paulden, Prescott, Verde Valley/Cottonwood, and Wilhoit (communities invited but unable to participate: Black Canyon City and Crown King)

1. **Introductions:** Participants self introduced with enough time to explain some of their vision and process.
2. **Identifying Common Themes:** After identifying major thematic categories, participants listed relevant elements of their visions on thematic flip charts hung on walls around the room. In order to include Black Canyon City and Crown King, PNF representatives included elements of their visions under the appropriate themes.

Ranching/Land Use

- Agua Fria: Multiple use concepts encouraged, wise use of resources
- Black Canyon City (BCC): Multiple use concepts encouraged, wise use of resources
- Jerome: Protect ranching/ conservation easements
- Wilhoit: Partner w/ more ranches
- Prescott: Ranches provide water & land for wildlife, support only provided to "good" stewards who contribute to wildlife and forest health
- Verde Valley (VV): Productive agricultural; natural corridors for wildlife

Water

- Agua Fria: Permanent water developments, reduce runoff, encourage groundwater recharge
- BCC: Protect limited water supply
- Jerome: Protection of watershed/springs
- Prescott: Quality & quantity for instream flow, Riparian area protection and watershed
- Verde Valley: Watershed that protects water quality and quantity; water flowing year round

Open Space/Viewshed

- Agua Fria: Value "vacant land" as open space
- BCC: Retention of open space & viewshed protection
- Jerome: Protect viewshed, open space around town
- Prescott: Viewshed, wilderness for permanent protection (wildlife habitat), riparian, density
- Verde Valley: Spaces between communities & panoramic view of mountains

Forest/Grassland/Watershed Health

- Agua Fria: Wildlife-control non-native species, control invasive plants
- BCC: Nature preserves, riparian areas
- Cherry: When you burn a mountainside do something to keep it from washing away the roads below
- Jerome: riparian habitat, wildlife corridors extremely important
- Prescott: Protect health based on science; creeks/streams, wildlife corridors
- Verde Valley: Healthy diversity of habitat; lush Verde River greenway
- Wilhoit: Reforestation/planting

Social/Economic Benefits

- Crown King: Maintain ecological, economic, & social health of Crown King and surroundings
- Jerome: Don't "commercialize" forests and trails
- Prescott: With no harm to forest health
- Agua Fria: Encourage economic development that responsibly and sustainably exploits PNF resources, tourism, recreation, healthy living
- Verde Valley- Forest is a BIG basis for local economy, provides renewable and non-renewable resources, to be sustained for the future

Rural Character

- Agua Fria: Develop and maintain wildlife recreation corridors between pieces of PNF (like BC Trail, sheep drive)
- BCC: Low density development, assured water, decide which areas are to have rural character preserved
- Jerome- No sprawl
- Paulden- Open space
- Prescott- Low density development, assured water, decide which areas are to have rural character preserved
- Verde Valley- Protect identity and character of each separate community

Community Engagement/Interagency Coordination & Education/Volunteers

- Agua Fria: Outreach networking (coordination of agency & government resources), involve schools, community associations.
- BCC: Work with BLM & other communities; goal oriented events, building success
- Crown King: Collaborative efforts to maintain forest health, and reduce wildlife danger
- Jerome: Mining lands, forest interface, volunteers, town help to patrol
- Prescott: Partnerships in volunteering
- Verde Valley: Cooperative, effective, huge use of volunteers; educational activities
- Wilhoit: Partnerships in volunteering

Transportation Planning/Paulden-Hwy 89

- Agua Fria: Keeping present corridors/ improve existing routes
- BCC: Maintain legal access to inholdings
- Crown King: Maintenance of all roads for safe public access/evacuation
- Jerome: Area to small & fragile to accommodate more traffic
- Prescott: ADOT involvement (N. AZ 50 yr plan) alternates for more & bigger roads
- Verde Valley: Signs, maps, education, enforcement, enlist responsible users

Trash/Litter

- Cherry: Authorize use of deadly force for dumpers & unpermitted woodcutters
- Crown King: Trash free, clean air
- Jerome: Drinking & shooting bottles, dumping trash
- Prescott: Encourage leave-no-trace education/outreach to prevent littering
- Agua Fria: More agency/government support for clean ups, free dump days, recycling programs for household trash, commercial and old trailers
- Verde Valley: Clean-ups, monitoring, enforcement, set an example, publicize

Law Enforcement

- Agua Fria: Volunteer eyes, steward involvement
- BCC: Work with courts for better results
- Cherry: Enforce helmet, proof of insurance, age limits on ATV's
- Crown King: Consistent, timely enforcement. Laws & rules
- Jerome: Increase enforcement/presence re: shooting, OHV use (drinking usually involved)
- Paulden: Better signage
- Prescott: Provided by all PNF rangers in addition to law enforcement officers; Better signage
- Verde Valley: Attack "recreational terrorism". Enforcement, volunteer monitoring
- Wilhoit: More severe punishment for fire starters

Cultural/Historical Uses & Sites

- Crown King: Preserve history of Crown King & Southern Bradshaws
- Jerome: Protect cultural, historic sites around town & historic footprint of town
- Prescott: Preservation & interpretation
- Paulden: Preservation & interpretation
- Verde Valley: Extremely important; raise awareness, protect mining history, archeological sites, pioneer history, tribal history

Recreation/Access

- Agua Fria: Limited OHV use, education, licensing for OHV users
- BCC: Identify signs trails for OHV & Non-motorized, enforce laws on existing roads; education of "city folk"; Development of public trails
- Crown King: OHV use highly regulated, adequate facilities, increase campsites, available & maintained clearly marked motorized & non-motorized trails
- Jerome: More low impact use, less ORV use, non-motorized trails, no shooting from forest roads, QUIET areas to enjoy forest sounds and silence
- Prescott: Use & access for variety of users with adequate trail systems, trail heads-safely non-motorized/motor uses
- Verde Valley: BIG variety of uses-motorized, non-motorized, access, opportunities to explore, enjoy, learn, and help maintain

Fire

- Agua Fria: Keeping fire active/management- suppression not always necessary
- Cherry: Be more careful with the prescribed burns
- Jerome: Air quality/(Controlled burns) urban, forest interface
- Prescott: Emphasis in the WUI
- Verde Valley: Protect urban interface-keep free of litter, hazards
- Wilhoit: PNF land clearing at town boundary Hwy 89, shoulder clearing

3. Discussion

Who's missing?

- ATV interests (4-wheelers are represented) - *invited*
- FS law enforcement
- Black Canyon City and Crown King - *invited and interested but unable to make this meeting*
- Others likely as well, but there wasn't time to discuss this further at this initial meeting

Discussion about ATV/OHV issues:

- Suggestion that those OHV users who go to the meetings tend to be part of organized groups, respectful and helpful; the challenge is more with those who aren't
- Education:
 - Education from the manufacturers can be helpful.
 - Not only visitors, but those whose land is connected to the forest need to be educated as well
 - ATV dealers should be asked to hand out info involving safe ATV driving/use
 - Game and PFA is doing a great job with PR and education

Other concerns:

- Trade-off for smaller communities to maintain a rural setting if they choose to have urban amenities
- Private property rights are involved when considering what can/can't be brought to a community

Value/Impact of Vision Statements:

- Response from PNF representatives: These visions are very important to the Forest. Encouraging public "engagement" rather than "involvement." The communities' vision statements are being posted on the PNF website (under Plan Revision), and will all be included in the revised Forest Plan. While the PNF needs to adhere

to legal requirements, best science, and consider all interests, the PNF will do their best to help each community reach its vision as related to PNF land. And, since public agencies are to be held accountable, these visions will also allow the community to raise concerns if necessary.

- The community visions can serve as much as a tool for the communities as for the FS.

4. Possible Areas of Collaboration

Multi-Interest, Forest-wide Stewardship Group

- Value: There is a need for a cross-cutting group - that involves all key forest interests - to be able to communicate and interact with the FS on an ongoing basis. This kind of stewardship group can play a very helpful role in the revision of the Forest Plan, give input to the PNF for other processes, can bridge across diverse groups, ensure continuity, help address problems early on, etc.. The broader coalition could be the liaison to share information among the communities and the PNF, as well as foster dialogue and collaboration among all parties. Priorities expressed by a multi-interest group can have more influence, and be more efficient, than single-interest groups independently lobbying the agency.
- Structural considerations:
 - *Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA):* If the group wants to pursue a FACA committee the PNF can help with that effort. However, if the group chooses the FACA committee route, there are many rules that must be followed.
 - *Community-led:* If the federal agency is not the convener, nor leading the process, the communities are free to develop a stewardship group as they'd like, with the PNF as a participant and resource. Importance of identifying a neutral trusted convener or co-convenors, as well as an effective, inclusive process.
 - *Scope:* while no consensus yet, the interest seems to be for a forest-wide group with sub-groups (both community or sub-forest level as well as topical)
 - Could be convened at regular intervals (e.g., quarterly meetings) and in rotating locations.
- Learn from other examples of multi-interest stewardship groups
 - Some BLM efforts (e.g., Bradshaw Foothills Coalition, Sonoita Valley Planning Partnership)
 - Coconino NF has a Friends of the Forest program
 - Several others that would offer very useful lessons to this effort
- Charles Prégler is attending a regional conference concerning volunteer programs in National Forests and will share relevant information at a future meeting.

Develop a Forest-wide Vision

- Several participants felt that developing a forest-wide vision would be important; could influence the PNF's Plan Revision process
- Others wanted to make sure that the community visions maintain their power, as well as connection to those that participated in developing them, and were concerned that a forest-wide vision may shadow/dilute the community visions.
- A general vision statement could include a prominent note pointing out the community visions and their importance, with all included in the Plan.
- Another possibility is to maintain the community visions, but identify the top forest-wide topics which would be useful as PNF identifies priority needs for change in the current Forest Plan, as well as the priorities of a forest-wide stewardship group.
- Suggestion that a forest wide statement could be in the forward to the PNF's Forest Plan

5. Next steps

- **Identifying existing opportunities:** Based on the vision elements identified by each community, participants agreed to share knowledge of relevant existing efforts, opportunities, and resources.
- **Community-level:**
 - Upcoming meetings of the community level groups: Verde Valley (4/16), Prescott (4/17), UAFWP (6/3)
 - Participants who need to will each talk with their respective organizations about this cross-community effort, and clarify who is best to participate at this level
- **Forest-wide, multi-interest stewardship group:** agreement to take this idea to the next step
 - SDR will lead planning for the next meeting in June which will focus on learning about forest stewardship groups and beginning to set up one around the PNF. They will be in touch with communities for agenda input.
 - Host: Arcosanti or Town of Jerome
 - Expand participation
- **Forest-wide vision and/or priority themes:** discussion to be continued at next meeting

PARTICIPANTS

<u>Name</u>	<u>Affiliation</u>	<u>Community</u>
Judy Miller	Verde Valley League Women Voters	Verde Valley
Mary Hoadley	Upper Agua Fria Watershed Partnership	Agua Fria
Cliff Hersted	Upper Agua Fria Watershed Partnership	Agua Fria
Thomas Teskey	Upper Agua Fria Watershed Partnership	Agua Fria
Laura Teskey	Upper Agua Fria Watershed Partnership	Agua Fria
Creagan McConnell	Paulden Area Community Org.	Paulden
Bud Gindhart	Cherry Vol. Fire	Cherry
Jane Moore	Town of Jerome	Jerome
Jack and Ann Kish	Wilhoit Block Watch	Wilhoit
Joe Hill	Bradshaw Foothills Coalition	Castle
Marvelle Clark	Yavapai Trails Association	Prescott
Joyce Mackin	Yavapai Trails Association	Prescott
Sam Frank	AZ Wilderness Coalition	Prescott
Spike Hicks	Back Country Horsemen	Prescott
Tom Slaback	Open Space Alliance of Central Yavapai Co.	Prescott
Alan Kessler	Yavapai Cattle Growers Association	Prescott
Susie Hehlen	Prescott Cycling Club	Prescott
Sally Hess-Samuelson	Prescott National Forest	Forest-wide
Charles Pregler	Prescott National Forest	Forest-wide
Lee Ann Lyall	Big Big News	Media
<u>Facilitation</u>		
Tahnee Robertson	Southwest Decision Resources	Facilitation Team
Todd Gaston	Southwest Decision Resources	Facilitation Team

For comments or corrections on this meeting summary, please contact Tahnee Robertson at tahnee@swdresources.com.



Proposed Recreation Fee Changes

The Prescott National Forest is proposing to increase user fees at most existing recreation facilities. The forest is also proposing to discontinue fee collection at two sites. These fee changes would begin in 2009 and remain the same for 5 years.

Forest-wide Fee Changes

Site Type	Current Fee	Description	Proposed Fee
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 12 Day Use Sites • 2 OHV Sites 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • \$2/daily • \$3/daily 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Also covered by interagency passes 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • \$5 daily
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Annual Pass - Season Pass 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • \$40/year • \$5/2nd vehicle • \$20/4 months 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • \$40/year • \$10/2nd vehicle • \$20/4months
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 2 Group Day Use Sites 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • \$25-\$100/group 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Depends on group size 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • \$75-\$175/group
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 10 Family Campgrounds* <p><u>Single site:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 1 vehicle - Up to 5 people <p><u>Double site:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 2 vehicles - Up to 10 people 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • \$6/vehicle • \$10/vehicle 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Without water • With water • Unpaved access 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • \$10/vehicle* • \$14/vehicle* • \$5/2nd vehicle
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> \$10/vehicle 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • With water • Paved access • Flush toilets 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • \$18/vehicle* • \$5/2nd vehicle
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • \$20/2 vehicles 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Double Sites 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • \$28-36/2 vehicles* • \$5/addl vehicle
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Electric hook-up 			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • \$5/site
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 4 Group Campgrounds - Up to 100 people 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • \$25-\$100/group 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Depends on group size 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • \$75-\$150/group
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Powell Springs Campground 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • \$6/vehicle 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Discontinue fee 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • \$0
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Trail 62 Trailhead 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • \$2/daily 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Discontinue fee 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • \$0

*Camping fees would include access to day use sites on the forest.

How can I comment?

We want **YOUR FEEDBACK** about proposed fee changes. Go to www.fs.fed.us/r3/prescott/projects/rec-fee-proposal to see the list of specific sites and submit a comment. Contact Rachel Franchina, fee proposal coordinator, at rfranchina@fs.fed.us or (928) 443-8000. Please comment by June 30, 2008.

You're Invited

To Be Part of the SAFE Team

Camp Verde Fire District is looking for citizen advocates who want to join us in saving lives and making our community safer. We need your assistance in implementing our smoke alarm campaign to reduce the number of fire-related deaths that occur in our community. Our community averages one fire-related death a year and experienced two such tragedies in 2007. FEMA awarded funds to help us provide working smoke alarms to our most at-risk population but we need your help. Please come and

- *Share your skills and experience to support your fire district and save lives.*
- *Network and make new friends.*
- *Learn important life-saving information you need to know.*
- **MAKE A DIFFERENCE!**

Meeting Date: Thursday 6/26/08

Meeting Time: 10:00 AM

In the Jerome Room at the Cliff Castle Lodge, 333 W Middle Verde Road, Camp Verde, AZ.

To RSVP or learn more about this important endeavor please call
Barbara Rice at 567-9401



Be part of the SAFE Team! Help us Save lives by participating in the Smoke Alarms for Everyone project.

Smoke Alarms Save Lives!