

MINUTES
COUNCIL HEARS PLANNING & ZONING MATTERS
MAYOR AND COUNCIL
TOWN OF CAMP VERDE
COUNCIL CHAMBERS
473 S. Main Street, Room #106
WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 27, 2008
at 6:30 P.M.

Minutes are a summary of the actions taken. They are not verbatim.
Public input is placed after Council motions to facilitate future research.
Public input, where appropriate, is heard prior to the motion.

1. **Call to Order**

The meeting was called to order at 6:30 p.m.

2. **Roll Call**

Mayor Gioia, Vice Mayor Hauser, Councilors Smith, Garrison, Kovacovich, and Elmer were present; German was absent due to a death in the family.

Also Present: Town Manager Mike Scannell, Town Attorney Bill Sims, *by telephone conference*, Community Development Director Nancy Buckel, Sr. Planner Mike Jenkins, Town Engineer Ron Long, and Recording Secretary Margaret Harper.

3. **Pledge of Allegiance**

The Pledge was led by Smith.

4. **Consent Agenda** – All those items listed below may be enacted upon by one motion and approved as consent agenda items. Any item may be removed from the Consent Agenda and considered as a separate item if a member of Council requests.

a) Approval of the Minutes:

1) February 12, 2008 – Retreat

b) Set Next Meeting, Date and Time:

1) Joint Work Session – February 21, 2008 at 5:00 p.m.

2) Regular Session – March 5, 2008 at 6:30 p.m.

5) Joint Work Session with Library Advisory Commission – March 12, 2008 at 6:30 p.m.

6) Regular Session – March 19, 2008 at 6:30 p.m.

7) Council Hears Planning & Zoning – March 26, 2008 at 6:30 p.m.

c) Possible authorization for the Mayor to sign the request to rescind the acquisition proposal between the Town and the USFS for 223+ acres under the Town Site Act Purchase and request a refund of the remaining Collection Agreement Account deposit of approximately \$5,000 and possible direction to staff to cancel the appraisal.

On a motion by Smith, seconded by Kovacovich, the Council unanimously approved the Consent Agenda as presented, with the corrections noted, a meeting with the Sanitary District set for March 6, 2008, at 5:00 p.m., and Item 4.c) pulled for discussion.

Garrison requested a correction to the February 12, 2008 Retreat Minutes, Page 2, middle of the page; Garrison said that to the contrary, she had no knowledge that Parry lived outside the Town limits when he was elected. Gioia commented that he had other corrections as well; he will discuss that with the Town Manager. Garrison also requested that Item 4.c) be pulled for discussion.

c) Possible authorization for the Mayor to sign the request to rescind the acquisition proposal between the Town and the USFS for 223+ acres under the Town Site Act Purchase and request a refund of the remaining Collection Agreement Account deposit of approximately \$5,000 and possible direction to staff to cancel the appraisal.

Staff was directed to take no action and to bring the issue back to Council at a later date.

Garrison questioned the need to immediately rescind the subject proposal for acquisition of the 223+ acres. Town Manager Scannell explained that he had contacted Forest Service personnel who had suggested the move and the retrieval of the \$5,000 deposit, since the Town would be acquiring the 118-acre site; during their conversation Scannell had indicated that it was inconceivable that the Town would have any further interest in the 223 acres. After discussion, it was generally agreed to delay a formal rescission of the proposal at this time.

5. **Call to the Public for Items not on the Agenda.**

There was no public input.

6. **Council Informational Reports**

Elmer reported that he had attended the Chamber meeting last Thursday; the discussion was on ways to measure their performance.

Hauser said today was the MATForce meeting; Camp Verde has the highest rate in the Verde Valley of underage drinking offenses.

Smith commented that the meeting with the Yavapai-Apache Council last night was a great experience, and added special appreciation for the dinner served by the Church ladies.

Garrison also commented on the good experience at the Yavapai-Apache meeting, and reported on the Sanitary District special meeting last Tuesday; it was determined that the design structural failure is the reason for the problem with the wastewater treatment plant.

Kovacovich expressed thanks to the Church group and the staff for all the preparations for the great meal.

Gioia also thanked everybody involved in the Y-A meeting, including the creative staff members, and commented on how well the meeting went, much of it informally but very productive. Gioia reported on Fox TV's contact with him on the Fossil Creek Wild & Scenic designation, and briefly addressed his concern about HB 2772 that was on the agenda for further consideration.

7. **Discussion, consideration, and possible appointment of three (3) Council members to serve on an Interview Panel with the Manager and a Judicial Branch Representative for the Magistrate position and direction to staff to set appointments for interviews as soon as possible. The HR Director will serve on the Interview Panel as a staff resource and non-voting member.**

Staff was directed that Mayor Gioia and Councilors Smith and Kovacovich are appointed to serve on the subject Interview Panel as discussed.

Harry Cipriano explained that Judge Brutinel felt that since Marshal Dave Smith was also the Human Resource Director there might be the appearance of impropriety to have him participate on the Interview Panel, and it was incumbent upon the Town to make sure that interviews are conducted pursuant to the law. Scannell expressed agreement with the point made by Cipriano; and three Council members volunteered to serve on the Panel. Cipriano requested that the appointment be made as soon as possible so that somebody will be in place no later than April 14, 2008 to be available for a scheduled critical new judge rotation training school.

There was no public input.

Note: As a courtesy to the individuals in attendance, it was agreed to address Item 13 ahead of Item 7A and the remainder of the Agenda.

7A. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION, AND POSSIBLE APPROVAL OF CHANGE ORDER #2 FOR \$27,000 TO PROVIDE A TURN-KEY OPERATION FOR THE CDBG TOWNSITE

REDEVELOPMENT/COMMUNITY IMPROVEMENTS (RESTROOM) PROJECT; AND POSSIBLE AUTHORIZATION TO REQUEST A TRANSFER OF \$20,000 FROM ACTIVITY #1, ADMINISTRATION FUNDS OF ADOH CONTRACT #137-06 TO ACTIVITY #2, THE PROJECT; AND POSSIBLE AUTHORIZATION TO EXPEND APPROXIMATELY \$6,000 OF UNBUDGETED MONIES FROM THE PARKS FUND TO COMPLETE THE PROJECT.

On a motion by Hauser, seconded by Elmer, the Council unanimously approved change order #2 for \$27,000 to provide a turn-key operation for the CDBG project for the CDBG Townsite Redevelopment/Community Improvements (Restroom) project, and to research a refund of the \$19,000 in taxes, and if appropriate request a refund.

Town Engineer Ron Long was questioned about the need for the subject Change Order for the final hook-up costs and how those formulating the Grant were unaware of the need for that expense. Long said he had not been employed by the Town when the project was initiated in 2005 so he could not answer that question. Long discussed with Council the design and construction of the planned restrooms, as well as the planned location. It was suggested that the \$19,000-plus charged as tax may have been inappropriate; Long will review that with the Clerk and if possible obtain a refund of that amount.

PUBLIC INPUT

(Comments from the following individual are summarized.)

Robin Whatley commented that consideration should be given to providing more accommodations for the women using public bathrooms.

There was no further public input.

A recess was called at 7:55 p.m.; the meeting was called back to order at 8:05 p.m.

8. **Public Hearing, discussion, consideration, and possible approval of a Roadway Abandonment (Vacation), STABNDMT 2007-02, for a portion of Pheasant Run Circle located in the Jordan Meadows Subdivision, Unit 1 as initiated by petition and submitted by JoAnn Sawyer, owner of parcel 404-03-026A adjacent to the proposed Abandonment (Vacation).**

On a motion by Smith, seconded by Garrison, the Council unanimously approved a Roadway Abandonment (Vacation), STABNDMT 2007-02, for a portion of Pheasant Run Circle located in the Jordan Meadows Subdivision, Unit 1 as initiated by petition and submitted by JoAnn Sawyer, owner of parcel 404-03-026A adjacent to the proposed Abandonment (Vacation).

STAFF PRESENTATION

Community Development Director Buckel said this type of request is usually made by individuals that live adjacent to pieces of property that are either dead-ends or rights-of-way that were to have been part of planned subdivisions and no longer apply. Both of the issues tonight involve streets that are not maintained or improved but are just in a backyard. The legal requirements have been complied with. This first hearing is to determine whether the Town wishes to consider the abandonment, and to assess the value. The Town Engineer has determined that the proposed roadway for abandonment does not provide any benefit or future use to the Town. A second public hearing will include documentation of a legal survey and a road abandonment agreement that the applicant will assume all responsibility for the abandoned roadway.

PUBLIC HEARING OPEN

Applicant's Statement

JoAnn Sawyer pointed out her property and the proposed roadway abandonment on the overhead projection, stating that she has been maintaining that parcel for five years and would like to improve on it and make it an asset to the community.

COMMENT FROM OTHER PERSONS

There were no comments from other persons.

APPLICANT'S REBUTTAL

No rebuttal was necessary.

PUBLIC HEARING CLOSED

Council Discussion

The members discussed with Buckel the background of the proposed abandonment, together with input from Ms. Sawyer to confirm that the other homeowners have access to their property. Buckel said that the applicant will pay all the costs associated with the legal steps required for the abandonment and conveyance.

9. **Public Hearing, discussion, consideration, and possible approval of a Roadway Abandonment (Vacation), STABNDMT 2007-01, for a portion of Spruce Street located in the Jordan Meadows Subdivision, Unit 1 as initiated by petition and submitted by Carlos and June Trinidad, owners of parcel 404-03-042 adjacent to the proposed Abandonment (Vacation).**

On a motion by Smith, seconded by Gioia, the Council unanimously approved a Roadway Abandonment (Vacation), STABNDMT 2007-01, for a portion of Spruce Street located in the Jordan Meadows Subdivision, Unit 1 as initiated by petition and submitted by Carlos and June Trinidad, owners of parcel 404-03-042 adjacent to the proposed Abandonment (Vacation).

STAFF PRESENTATION

Buckel explained that this application involves a similar situation to the preceding one; the right-of-way was dedicated in one phase, and the letter of opposition was from an individual whose property is in a separate phase and he has access off another street.

PUBLIC HEARING OPEN

Applicant's Statement

June Trinidad provided some photos to help clarify what is being looked at and considered; the applicants are requesting the abandonment so that they will be able to irrigate their property. For the last four years they have been taking care of the property proposed to be abandoned.

COMMENT FROM OTHER PERSONS

There were no comments from other persons.

APPLICANT'S REBUTTAL

No rebuttal was necessary.

PUBLIC HEARING CLOSED

Council Discussion

There was comment that abandoning the parcels appeared to be a reasonable solution, and it was an example of neighbors working with neighbors for a resolution.

10. **Public Hearing, discussion, consideration, and possible approval of Resolution 2008-739 of the Town Council of the Town of Camp Verde, Arizona, approving General Plan Amendment (2007-02) that amends the Land Use Map of the General Plan for Parcel 404-18-161G from Rural Residential to Low Density Residential. This amendment is to accommodate a Minor Land Division; this property is located off Arena Del Loma east of Esther Parkway on the south side of the roadway adjacent to Arena Del Loma Estates.**

Approval of Resolution 2008-739 was denied for lack of a motion.

STAFF PRESENTATION

Buckel explained that the property owners are seeking a rezoning of the property to R1L-35 so the lower portion can be used for an additional dwelling. The rezoning would qualify as a Minor Amendment, and Buckel reviewed the list of requirements for a Minor Amendment, together with

the agency comments, adding that letters of opposition have been received. Staff will be looking for certain conditions that will have to be met before a lot split can be approved. The Planning & Zoning Commission by a vote of 2-5 indicated that they are recommending non-approval by the Council.

PUBLIC HEARING OPEN

Applicant's Statement

Jeff Adams, attorney for the applicants, suggested that the Council look at the request as the best use of the land; the topography with its natural lower and upper plateaus seems to call for the lot split. The applicants want to be a good neighbor and make good use of their land. Displaying an overhead projection, Mr. Adams outlined the lot and the lots of the surrounding neighbors who are in opposition. Mr. Adams stressed that the owners are willing to have the Council dictate the location of the egress and ingress points in order to have the least impact on the Arena del Loma Estates traffic, as well as how the property can be developed. Mr. Adams believes that the best use of the property would be to allow it to be split and to be developed so it can become a credit to the neighborhood.

COMMENT FROM OTHER PERSONS

(Pronunciation of name unintelligible) spoke in favor of the General Plan Amendment.

Don Gaines said he wholeheartedly approves the requested zoning.

Dugan McDonald also spoke in support of the requested change; other changes have been made with far less community support. (Mr. McDonald later offered to show an estimated lot split that he had prepared as a licensed surveyor; Council declined.)

APPLICANT'S REBUTTAL

There was no rebuttal.

PUBLIC HEARING CLOSED

Council Discussion

When asked about where the lot would be split, Buckel explained that the split would depend on the site plan that they would submit; it will be necessary to review the existing structure, placement of wells, septic and setbacks for the new structure before the split can be determined and approved. Buckel agreed that the Council would be considering a General Plan amendment and zoning change without knowing if the plan can meet the criteria for approval and if it does not and the request had been approved, the General Plan and zoning changes will remain in effect for no reason, basically. Dugan McDonald, a licensed surveyor, volunteered a preliminary site plan he had prepared indicating that the lot split would meet all requirements; however, there was comment from the Council that it would be inappropriate to consider such a document at this time. Buckel confirmed the lot split determination would depend on the site plan that is submitted as a later step. After further discussion, the Council decided that there do not appear to be extenuating circumstances to justify the General Plan Amendment, and that it is an inappropriate request.

11. **Public Hearing, discussion, consideration, and possible approval of Ordinance 2008-A347, an Ordinance of the Town of Camp Verde, Yavapai County, Arizona adopting an amendment to the Zoning Map of the Planning and Zoning Ordinance for parcel 404-18-161G consisting of approximately 1.80 acres from R1L-70 to R1L-35. This rezoning is to allow for a minor land division; this property is located off Arena Del Loma east of Esther Parkway on the south side of the roadway adjacent to Arena Del Loma Estates.**

There was no action taken; the requested Zoning Map change was not acted upon since the preceding General Plan Amendment was not passed.

STAFF PRESENTATION

Buckel said that this item cannot be considered because the preceding request for a General Plan Amendment did not pass.

12. **Public Hearing, discussion, consideration, and possible approval of Ordinance 2008-A348, an ordinance of the Mayor and Common Council of the Town of Camp Verde, Yavapai County, Arizona amending Section 108D of the Zoning Ordinance to define the criteria for raising swine within the Town, to add definitions for commercial livestock activity and livestock breeding activity, to change the Use Permit process for youth livestock activity on parcels smaller than ½ acre, and to add language for setback requirements for livestock activity as was previously stated in Section 109.** A motion by Garrison to approve one swine only for one-half acre or less was seconded by Hauser who then withdrew her second; motion failed for lack of a second.

Staff was directed to move forward as soon as possible on the adding the recommended nuisance provision to the Town Code and bring it back to Council; staff is directed to work with Cody Carter on the details as discussed and bring it back to Council.

Buckel said that pursuant to direction from Council she has worked with the Youth Advisor, Cody Carter, on changing the language somewhat and streamlining the process for Temporary Youth Organization Use Permits, and the changes discussed by Council at the last meeting have been incorporated into the document referred to as 2nd Council Draft. Buckel explained that the Town Attorney has recommended changes to the Town Code for enforcement of violations, and she briefly outlined the difference between a civil hearing before the Magistrate and a criminal citation that would be heard in Superior Court. The recommended change would be to remove the nuisance language from the Zoning Ordinance and include it in the Town Code since the Code Enforcement Officer is limited in what he is able to enforce regarding violations of the nuisance prohibitions.

The Council discussed at length with Buckel the recommended changes to Section 108, together with input from Youth Advisor Cody Carter on the procedure arrived at from the meetings with Buckel on the Temporary Youth Organization User Permit process, as well as the recommendations made by the Town Attorney, all of which are noted in the final Summary below. During the discussion the option of accommodating several children in one family wanting to raise swine was addressed at length, countered with the argument that a choice should have to be made in order to comply with the generally preferred limit of one swine.

To clarify the issue of removing the nuisance provisions from 108, as had been recommended, the Town Attorney explained as follows, summarized in part: The Town Code, not the Zoning Code, needs more amplification on the power of the Town to enforce prohibitions on a nuisance as a criminal misdemeanor, whether related to the raising of swine, or noise. Simply referring to it in the Zoning Code would have no effect on concerns regarding rodents, vermin or noise. Nuisance provisions should be set forth in one portion of the Town Code, not solely in the Zoning Code; "nuisance" deals with actions whereas zoning deals with use of properties, setbacks, etc. The Code Enforcement Official can make a determination as to whether or not the Code is being complied with and can order remedies, but cannot go in and threaten the property owner with removal of the swine. The Magistrate can issue fines, or a jail sentence; he cannot lock the doors and keep the swine from smelling up the neighborhood, only a court can do that. The nuisance issue can be left in Section 108, but citizens should not be led to think the enforcement officer has the power to force compliance; he can only note the discrepancy. The nuisance issue remaining in 108 will have to be revisited later when the nuisance provision is included in the Town Code.

FINAL SUMMARY of changes and additions as recommended and discussed:

Changes recommended by Attorney:

- (1) Language in Paragraph **6.c.:** "...shall have the authority to determine a

reduction in the number and removal of the animals is necessary to comply with this Section 108."

(2) Remove Paragraph **6.d.**

(3) Language in Paragraph **6.e.:** "...has the authority to determine that removal of the animals under circumstances where they constitute a health or safety hazard to human beings is necessary to comply with Section 108."

Per Cody Carter: Add **5.i.:** "More than one swine may be added with a petition filed with the Community Development Director by November 1st of the project year through the local Youth Group Advisor, providing that there is enough space to observe setbacks and space requirements for raising of the market swine project. No more than one swine project will be allowed per student."

Revised per discussion with Attorney): Paragraph **5.e.5)** The ultimate decision on whether the activity is considered to be in compliance with this Section 108 shall be made by the Community Development Director or designee.

Suggested during discussion: Paragraph **5.e.3.)** – "A sign must be posted on the property with the year and date where the livestock activity will be conducted, indicating membership"

PUBLIC INPUT

(Comments from the following individuals are summarized.)

Susan Danner believes that swine should continue to be allowed as long as it does not interfere with the neighbors' rights to enjoy their property; breeding of swine should not be allowed in a residential neighborhood, unless one swine per household for 4-H or FFA project; Town Code should be enforced more quickly.

Ira Piper insisted on a limit of one swine, four months, and then get rid of it.

Vivian Raines said that permits should not be issued for any activity that has the potential to become a nuisance; the neighbors bear the brunt of the problems that arise, including decrease in property values and health issues; the Enforcement Officer should have clear-cut authority..

Loren Eldridge asked why all three kids in one family have to raise pigs; they can just share or choose a different animal.

Sue Peterson requested that the Council seriously consider the point system; a lot of families will be affected now by what is happening with the point system and it is unfair since there are obvious violations existing. *Buckel discussed the points with Ms. Peterson briefly.*

Leonard Krautbauer questioned whether the activity next door to him would be grandfathered in. *Buckel responded that it would not since it was never authorized to begin with.*

There was no further public input.

A poll of the Council requesting each member's preference for limiting and/or regulating the number of swine allowed is set forth in brief as follows:

Garrison: One swine.

Smith: One swine, plus conditional use process for additional one, with two maximum.

Kovacovich: One swine, and then let Youth Advisor decide; and if more land, then no problem.

Hauser: One swine; permit for a second one.

Elmer: Number determined on whether it is going to work.

Gioia: One swine regardless of size of property.

Kovacovich: One swine, and then let Youth Advisor decide; and if more land, then no problem.

Hauser: One swine; permit for a second one.

Elmer: Number determined on whether it is going to work.

Gioia: One swine regardless of size of property.

Buckel reminded the Council of the burden placed on staff through the Use Permit and neighborhood hearing process. Buckel outlined the areas that she felt the Council was still having difficulty with, including dealing with numbers and special exceptions, and pointing out that the Temporary Use Permit is only required where the property is less than one-half acre, or involves points. Basically, the questions are: Allow more than one swine? Allow excessive numbers of animals for 4-H projects only? Who determines the number, either by recommendation or the Use Permit process.

It was finally generally acknowledged that without agreement on the entire working process as discussed, no decision could be made at this time; staff will work with Cody Carter on the details discussed and bring back to Council for further review.

13. **Discussion, consideration, and possible direction to staff concerning citizen complaints about Zellner's Woodyard. Complaints include, but are not limited to noise, vermin, fire danger, and zoning issues.**

Staff was directed to follow through with the fact-finding as outlined; notify all parties as soon as possible; research past Minutes to determine what previous Councils have done and said on the issue; implement a rapid process to identify the scope of legal and illegal non-conforming uses; allow for a response from Zellners' attorney, and then come back to Council with a recommendation.

Councilor Smith explained that this issue arose as the result of a citizen complaint, and it was deemed important enough to bring before the Council. A petition with 45 signatures was submitted by Clinton Gray, together with some data that is being reviewed. Further steps will be taken by staff to investigate the status of the legal non-conforming operation, when that occurred, and whether expansion beyond the original site has taken place, including using aerial photographs. Both the community and Council will be kept informed of the resulting findings. Town Manager Scannell further explained that the intent is to try to resolve the problem with a fair and objective assessment of both sides of the issue.

Mayor Gioia read into the record the petition received from **Clinton Gray** that outlined the reasons supporting the citizens' complaint, including excessive noise, trash, dust, and traffic from the operation of the woodyard. **Mr. Gray** said he represented the neighbors and property owners who had signed the petition, and stressed the problems outlined in the petition, including the expansion of the operation over the years since 1984. The Council noted the documents that Mr. Gray has collected, copies of which will be supplied to Planning & Zoning; staff will also research further documents to trace the establishment and subsequent operation of the woodyard to date, as well as research possible deficiencies in the existing Fire Code.

Daryl Manhart spoke on behalf of the Zellner family, objecting that the recent citizen complaint was brought to Council without notifying that family. Mr. Manhart said the family feels they have resolved these issues several times in the past already, and he hopes no action will be taken at this meeting tonight. He added that he looks forward to working with Town staff to resolve the issues brought forward by Mr. Gray, issues with which the Zellner family does not agree. Mayor Gioia apologized that the family had not been notified, and briefly discussed that issue with staff.

Responding to the complaint that the Zellners were not notified in advance that this Agenda item was scheduled to be addressed, Town Attorney Sims explained that the public has the right to raise issues, but that this item is not intended to be a public hearing where property owners' rights will be impacted; it is simply an agenda item to raise the issue, possibly get advice from the Attorney at an Executive Session on the legal ramifications. Staff can then present facts at a

later public hearing, if so directed, on the issues of a continuing non-conforming use and a conflict regarding whether the Town acted in the past to permit that.

PUBLIC INPUT

(Comments from the following individuals are summarized.)

Shari Williams complained about the trucks parking overnight in front of her property, and the drivers publicly relieving themselves in the morning.

Howard Parrish said that he lives a quarter of a mile away from the woodyard, and can hear the noise from the wood splitter and chain saws.

Art Coppinger expressed his understanding of grandfathered rights to continue non-conforming uses; however, that is not intended to allow the expansion of the non-conforming use which is apparently what has happened with the woodyard.

Danny Parker said he has lived in the area since 1943, and most of the homes were built out there years ago; the woodyard has expanded and it should be brought back to what it was.

Stacy Barker commented on what he feels is an attack on the Zellner family, and urged the Town to look at both sides equally; he also objected to what he perceived as Smith being over-friendly to Mr. Gray and sharing some documents. *Smith responded that he was in essence simply a messenger returning some papers to Mr. Gray who had allowed the Town to copy them.*

There was no further public input.

13A. DISCUSSION, CONSIDERATION, AND POSSIBLE AUTHORIZATION FOR THE MAYOR TO RELAY CONCERNS REGARDING HB 2772, WHICH WOULD AUTHORIZE AN INTER-BASIN WATER TRANSFER BY THE CITY OF WILLIAMS, THUS PERMITTING THE CITY OF WILLIAMS TO PUMP GROUNDWATER OUT OF THE VERDE WATERSHED.

There was no action taken; it was agreed to revisit this item next week.

Mayor Gioia reviewed at length the details of the subject inter-basin water transfer request that he believes would set a precedent for other inter-basin transfers, responding to requests from the members for further information in order for them to consider supporting his request for authorization to relay his concerns.

There was no public input.

Note: Vice Mayor Hauser left the meeting at 11:15 p.m.

14. Call to the Public for Items not on the Agenda.

There was no public input.

15. Advanced Approvals of Town Expenditures

a) There are no advanced approvals.

There were no advanced approvals.

16. Manager/Staff Report

Buckel gave an update on the request from Council for the procedural change on the agenda packets; also, time will be extended on scheduling hearings in order to provide staff additional time to process the reports.

17. Adjournment

On a motion by Garrison, seconded by Kovacovich, the meeting was adjourned at 11:38 p.m.

Margaret Harper
Margaret Harper, Recording Secretary

CERTIFICATION

I hereby certify that the foregoing Minutes are a true and accurate accounting of the actions of the Mayor and Common Council of the Town of Camp Verde during the Regular Session of the Town Council of Camp Verde, Arizona, held on the 27th day of February 2008. I further certify that the meeting was duly called and held, and that a quorum was present.

Dated this 21 day of March, 2008.

Deborah Barber
Debbie Barber, Town Clerk